

Transplanckian bremsstrahlung and black hole production

Dmitri V. Gal'tsov^{a,c}, Georgios Kofinas^b, Pavel Spirin^a and Theodore N. Tomaras^b

^a *Department of Theoretical Physics, Moscow State University, 119899, Moscow, Russia*

^b *Department of Physics and Institute of Theoretical and*

Computational Physics, University of Crete, 71003 Heraklion, Greece

^c *Laboratoire de Physique Théorique LAPTH (CNRS, Université de Savoie),
B.P.110, F-74491 Annecy-le-Vieux cedex, France*

Classical gravitational bremsstrahlung in particle collisions at transplanckian energies is studied in $\mathcal{M}_4 \times \mathcal{T}^d$. The radiation efficiency $\epsilon \equiv E_{\text{rad}}/E_{\text{initial}}$ is computed in terms of the Schwarzschild radius $r_S(\sqrt{s})$, the impact parameter b and the Lorentz factor γ_{cm} and found to be $\epsilon = C_d(r_S/b)^{3d+3}\gamma_{\text{cm}}^{2d+1}$, larger than previous estimates by many powers of $\gamma_{\text{cm}} \gg 1$. The result is reliable for impact parameters in the overlap of $r_S < b < b_c$ and $b > \lambda_C$, with b_c marking (for $d \neq 0$) the loss of the notion of classical trajectories and $\lambda_C \equiv \hbar/mc$ the Compton length of the scattered particles. The condition on s and m for extreme radiation damping and (presumably) no black hole production is also derived.

PACS numbers: 04.50.Cd, 04.50.Gh, 04.70.Dy

Black hole (BH) production in LHC, predicted [1] in models with TeV-scale gravity and large extra dimensions [2, 3, 4] about ten years ago, has been the subject of intense theoretical study and numerical simulations (for a review see [5]). The prediction is based on the assumption that for impact parameters of the order of the horizon radius corresponding to the CM collision energy $2E = \sqrt{s}$

$$r_S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left[\frac{8\Gamma\left(\frac{d+3}{2}\right)}{d+2} \right]^{\frac{1}{d+1}} \left(\frac{G_D \sqrt{s}}{c^4} \right)^{\frac{1}{d+1}} \quad (1)$$

an event horizon should form due to the non-linear nature of gravity. The $D = 4 + d$ dimensional gravitational constant is $G_D = \hbar^{d+1}/(M_*^{d+2}c^{d-1})$, with M_* the D -dimensional Planck mass. It is related to the four-dimensional Planck mass $M_{\text{Pl}} \equiv M_4$ via $M_{\text{Pl}}^2 = M_*^{d+2}V$, $V = (2\pi R)^d$, where R is the large compactification radius.

This classical, essentially, picture of BH formation is justified for transplanckian energies $s \gg G_D^{-2/(d+2)} = M_*^2$. Indeed [6], in this case the D -dimensional Planck length $l_* = (\hbar G_D/c^3)^{1/(d+2)} = \hbar/M_*c$ and the de Broglie length of the collision $\lambda_B = \hbar c/\sqrt{s}$ satisfy the *classicality* condition $\lambda_B \ll l_* \ll r_S$. Furthermore, gravity is believed to be the dominant force in the transplanckian region. Thus, for BH masses large compared to M_* , the use of classical Einstein theory is well justified. Moreover, it seems that formation of BHs in four dimensions is predicted by string theory [7]. Thus, in spite of the fact that there are issues which require further study [8], a consensus has been reached that the prediction of BHs in ultra-high energy collisions is robust and is summarized in the widely accepted four-stage process of formation and evaporation of BHs in colliders [1, 9], namely (i) formation of a closed trapped surface (CTS) in the collision of shock waves modeling the head-on particle collision, (ii) the balding phase, during which the BH emits gravitational waves and relaxes to the Myers-Perry BH, (iii) Hawking evaporation and superradiance phase in which the experimental signatures are supposed to be produced, and (iv) the quantum grav-

ity stage, where more fundamental theory like superstrings is important. This scenario was implemented in computer codes [10] to simulate the BH events in LHC, where they are expected to be produced at a rate of several per second, and in ultra high energy cosmic rays.

Here we focus on stage (i). Replacing the field of an ultrarelativistic particle by that of a black hole in the infinite momentum frame strictly speaking is only valid in the linearized level, while the associated non-linear phenomena for particles may be quite different from those in colliding waves. A typical non-linear effect for particles is gravitational bremsstrahlung, which is an important inelastic process in transplanckian collisions. The existing estimates of gravitational radiation either refer to phase (ii), or are based on the assumption of an already existing BH (e.g. radiation from particles falling into the BH [11]), on results of linearized theory relevant only to the case of non-gravitational scattering [8], on weakly relativistic numerical simulations [12] or again on collisions of waves in 4d [13]. However, a detailed study of gravitational bremsstrahlung in the transplanckian regime in the ADD scenario was missing. The purpose of this note is to present the results of such a study (a more detailed account will follow [14]). The emitted energy is found to be larger than earlier estimates (see e.g. [6]) by a dimension dependent power of the Lorentz factor of the collision. The condition is derived, for which radiation reaction [15] becomes essential and the picture of colliding waves, which models particle motion with constant speed, may not be adequate.

The standard ADD model assumes that empty space-time is the product of the four-dimensional Minkowski space $\mathcal{M}_4$ (the brane) and a d -dimensional torus $\mathcal{T}^d$ and treats gravity in the linear approximation $g_{MN} = \eta_{MN} + \kappa_D h_{MN}$ (η_{MN} has mostly minuses). To calculate gravitational bremsstrahlung classically one has to extend the ADD setup beyond the linearized level. For this, one expands the metric further as $h_{MN} \rightarrow h_{MN} + \kappa_D \delta h_{MN}$ and adds the cubic interaction terms to the Fierz-Pauli lagrangian. Equivalently, as in the standard theory of gravita-

tional radiation in four dimensions, one may expand the D -dimensional Einstein tensor up to quadratic terms:

$$G_{MN} = -\frac{1}{2}\square(\psi_{MN} + \kappa_D \delta\psi_{MN}) - \frac{1}{2}\kappa_D^2 S_{MN}, \quad (2)$$

where the last term plays the role of a gravitational stress-tensor, whose form is dimension independent. Here $h \equiv \eta^{MN} h_{MN}$, $\psi_{MN} \equiv h_{MN} - \eta_{MN} h/2$ is the trace-reversed metric perturbation, $\square \equiv \eta^{MN} \partial_M \partial_N$ is the D -dimensional d'Alembertian and the coupling constant κ_D is defined by $\kappa_D^2 \equiv 16\pi G_D$. Symmetrization and alternation over indices is understood with 1/2, while raising/lowering of indices is meant with the flat metric.

Since D -dimensional Einstein equations imply the D -dimensional Bianchi identities, which in turn imply the D -dimensional geodesic equations for the particles, going beyond the linearized theory might contradict the assumption of matter confinement on the brane. However, it turns out that it is enough to assume that to zeroth order in κ_D particles move on the brane. Then, the corresponding zeroth order stress-tensor also lies on the brane

$$T_{MN}(x^P) = \eta_M^\mu \eta_N^\nu T_{\mu\nu}(x) \delta^d(\mathbf{y}). \quad (3)$$

Its first order perturbation δT_{MN} , due to the linearized gravitational interaction, is also confined on the brane. Indeed, to first order the wave equation in the flat harmonic gauge $\partial_M \psi^{MN} = 0$ is

$$\square \psi_{MN} = -\kappa_D T_{MN}. \quad (4)$$

The source term is constructed neglecting gravity, hence it is flat-space divergenceless too. Since particles move freely in this order, this equation describes non-radiative Lorentz-contracted gravitational potentials. Radiation appears in second order in κ_D and is described by the field $\delta\psi_{MN}$ satisfying (again in the flat harmonic gauge) the equation

$$\square \delta\psi_{MN} = -\kappa_D \tau_{MN}, \quad \tau_{MN} = \delta T_{MN} + S_{MN}, \quad (5)$$

where S_{MN} is quadratic in the first order gravitational potentials. The right hand side of (5) is divergenceless by virtue of the expanded Einstein equations. Therefore, the effective source of radiation is the sum of the perturbation δT_{MN} of the matter tensor, caused by the first order gravitational interaction and the gravitational stress tensor S_{MN} , constructed from the first order metric perturbations. This tensor is not confined on the brane, but extends to the bulk. Note that δT_{MN} and S_{MN} are not separately gauge invariant. Thus, beyond the linearized level the effective source of radiation is no more confined on the brane.

Denote the D -dimensional coordinates as $x^M = (x^\mu, y^k)$, where x^μ , $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$, lie on the brane and y^k , $k = 1, \dots, d$ label the points of T^d . Imposing periodicity conditions $h_{MN}(x, y^k + 2\pi R) = h_{MN}(x, y^k)$ we obtain an infinite number of four-dimensional massive modes

$$h_{MN}(x^P) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{n_k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} h_{MN}^n(x) e^{in_k y^k / R} \quad (6)$$

with masses $m^2 = q_k^2$, where $q_k = n_k/R$ is the quantized momentum on the torus, and which can be regrouped into spin 2, 1, 0 massive fields [4, 16].

But, being interested in the total radiation in all modes, it is more convenient to think about the metric perturbation as a D -dimensional massless field with discrete momenta in the extra dimensions. The four-dimensional fields $h_{MN}^n(x^\mu)$ are further expanded into Fourier integrals defined by $\Psi(x) = \int \Psi(q) e^{-iq \cdot x} d^4 q / (2\pi)^4$.

Consider the small-angle collision of two point masses m, m' . Assuming that to zeroth order in κ_D their world-lines lie on the brane $x^M = z^M(\tau)$, $x'^M = z'^M(\tau')$, one verifies that, as expected, they remain on the brane even after the gravitational interaction is switched on. Therefore, $z^M = z^\mu \delta_\mu^M$,

$$z^\mu(\tau) = b^\mu + \frac{p^\mu}{m} \tau + \delta z^\mu, \quad z'^\mu(\tau) = \frac{p'^\mu}{m'} \tau + \delta z'^\mu, \quad (7)$$

where p^μ and p'^μ are momentum parameters. Although the true initial momenta $P^\mu = m \lim_{\tau \rightarrow -\infty} \dot{z}^\mu(\tau)$ and $P'^\mu = m' \lim_{\tau \rightarrow -\infty} \dot{z}'^\mu(\tau)$ differ from p^μ, p'^μ , they still satisfy $s = (P + P')^2 = (p + p')^2$ [17]. It is convenient to work in the rest frame of one of the particles, m' , choosing the coordinate axes on the brane so that $p'^\mu = m'(1, 0, 0, 0)$, $p^\mu = m\gamma(1, 0, 0, v)$, $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1-v^2}$. Also, with no loss of generality one may set $b^\mu = (0, b, 0, 0)$ and $b'^\mu = 0$, so that b is the impact parameter. Finally, one may think of brane localized vectors as D -dimensional vectors with zero bulk components, e.g. $p^M = (p^\mu, 0, \dots, 0)$.

In the linearized theory the superposition principle implies that both the zeroth and the first order energy-momentum tensor is the sum of the contributions of the two masses, i.e. $T_{MN} = T_{MN}^m + T_{MN}^{m'}$ and $\delta T_{MN} = \delta T_{MN}^m + \delta T_{MN}^{m'}$. The stress term S_{MN} , on the other hand, represents a collective contribution, which cannot be attributed to any one of the particles. Solving the wave equation (5), one finds that the radiation amplitude consists of three terms, which correspond to radiation from masses and from their gravitational stresses. This is similar to the structure of the Born amplitude in quantum theory [18], which in the case without extra dimensions and in the low frequency limit is known to coincide with the classical result. However, like in elastic scattering [17] in ADD ($d \neq 0$), the classical treatment is essentially non-perturbative in the quantum sense.

The spectral-angular distribution of the emitted energy in gravitational bremsstrahlung during the collision is given by

$$\frac{dE_{\text{rad}}}{d\omega d\Omega} = \frac{G_D \omega^2}{2\pi^2 V} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sum_{\text{pol}} |\tau_{MN}(k) \varepsilon_{\text{pol}}^{MN}|^2, \quad (8)$$

where

$$\tau_{MN}(k) = \delta T_{MN}^m(k) + \delta T_{MN}^{m'}(k) + S_{MN}(k) \quad (9)$$

is the Fourier transform of the right hand side of (5). The first two terms have only the brane components $M, N = 0, 1, 2, 3$, while the third is truly multidimensional. Introduce next the massive wave vector $k^\mu \equiv (\sqrt{\omega^2 + m^2}, \omega \mathbf{n})$, with $m^2 = \kappa^2$, $\kappa_i = n_i/R$. The three-dimensional unit vector $\mathbf{n}$ will be parameterized by the spherical angles θ, φ , in the usual way. Alternatively, one can think of the radiation wave vector as the D -dimensional null vector $k^M = (k^\mu, \kappa^i)$.

The polarization tensors of the emitted radiation are chosen according to the D -dimensional picture. They are $D(D-3)/2$ symmetric transverse traceless tensors orthogonal to k^M and to each other. To construct them start with $D-4$ unit space-like mutually orthogonal vectors e_a^M , $a = 3, 4, \dots, D-2$, orthogonal also to p^M , p'^M , k^M and b^M . Their contractions with $\delta T_{MN}^m(k)$, $\delta T_{MN}^{m'}(k)$ and $S_{MN}(k)$ will be zero. Take in addition the two vectors

$$e_1^M = \frac{k \cdot p p'^M - k \cdot p' p^M + k^M m m' v \gamma \cos \theta}{m v \gamma k \cdot p' \sin \theta}$$

$$e_{2M} = \frac{\epsilon_{MNLPA_3 \dots A_{D-2}} p'^L k^P e_3^{A_3} \dots e_{D-2}^{A_{D-2}}}{m v \gamma k \cdot p' \sin \theta}, \quad (10)$$

satisfying $e_2 \cdot p = e_2 \cdot p' = e_1 \cdot p' = 0$. Using those, one builds two chiral graviton polarizations, which belong to the brane:

$$\varepsilon_{\pm}^{MN} = e_{\pm}^M e_{\pm}^N, \quad e_{\pm}^M = (e_1^M \pm i e_2^M)/\sqrt{2}, \quad (11)$$

and a third one extending to the bulk

$$\varepsilon_3^{MN} = \mathcal{N} \left(\sum_a e_a^M e_a^N - (D-4) e_+^M e_-^N \right), \quad (12)$$

where $\mathcal{N}$ is a normalization factor. The remaining polarization tensors contain at least one vector from the set $\{e_a^M\}$ and give zero contraction with τ_{MN} .

The computation of the bremsstrahlung radiation proceeds as follows. One finds the retarded fields generated by the unperturbed particle trajectories and substitutes to the particle geodesic equations to get the first order corrections to the particles' motion. These are used to build the perturbations of the particle energy-momentum tensors, whose Fourier transforms are the first two terms in the radiation source (9). The result is expressed in terms of Macdonald functions with argument $w_n = [w_0^2 + m^2 b^2]^{1/2}$, $w_0 = k \cdot p b / (m \gamma v)$ and reads

$$\delta T_{\mu\nu}^m(k) = -\frac{m m' \kappa_D^2}{2\pi \gamma v^3 V} e^{-ik \cdot b} \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \left[i \frac{\hat{K}_1(w_n)}{(w_0)^2} \sigma_{\mu\nu} + \right.$$

$$\left. + 2 \frac{p_{(\mu} p'_{\nu)}}{m m'} K_0(w_n) \gamma + \frac{p_{\mu} p_{\nu}}{m^2} K_0(w_n) \left(\gamma \frac{w'_0}{w_0} - 1 \right) \right], \quad (13)$$

where $w'_0 \equiv k \cdot p' b / (m' \gamma v)$, $\sigma_{\mu\nu} = [p_{\mu} p_{\nu} k \cdot b - 2k \cdot p p_{(\mu} b_{\nu)}] / m^2$ and $\hat{K}_{\lambda}(w) \equiv w^{\lambda} K_{\lambda}(w)$. The second

term $\delta T_{\mu\nu}^{m'}(k)$ is obtained from (13) by the substitution $(m, m', p_{\mu}, p'_{\mu}) \rightarrow (m', m, p'_{\mu}, p_{\mu})$ and $e^{-ik \cdot b} \rightarrow 1$.

Next, one projects over polarizations. Only the first three contribute in the chosen gauge, and all of them have zero contractions with the m' term in (9). So, the total amplitude receives two contributions: (a) from the moving mass (13), and (b) from the stress term in (9), whose significance will be discussed shortly.

The next step is to sum over the interaction modes. Assuming that a large number of modes is excited, one can replace the summation over n^i by integration:

$$\sum_n f_n \approx \int f_n d^d n = \frac{V_d \Omega_{d-1}}{(2\pi)^d} \int f_{q_n} q_n^{d-1} dq_n, \quad (14)$$

where $q_n^i = n^i / R$. Use was made of the fact that the summand depends only on the absolute value of $\mathbf{n}$ ($m^2 = \mathbf{n}^2 / R^2$). The result is [14]:

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \hat{K}_{\lambda}(w) \approx (2\pi R^2 / b^2)^{d/2} \hat{K}_{\lambda+d/2}(w_0). \quad (15)$$

Summation over modes in the amplitude is the classical counterpart of integration over transverse momenta of virtual gravitons, which leads to tree-level divergences in ADD [4]. However, classically the result is finite like in elastic scattering [17].

Approximating summation over transverse modes with integration leads effectively to the situation in uncompactified Minkowski space $\mathcal{M}_D$. There too, the resulting particle source is expressed in terms of the Macdonald functions, now with argument w_0 . The properties of the Macdonald functions imply that the corresponding radiation amplitude is concentrated in a narrow cone $\theta < 1/\gamma$ with a frequency cutoff at $\omega_c \sim 2\gamma^2/b$. This is also what typically happens in electromagnetic bremsstrahlung in flat space. However, the spectral-angular distribution in the case of gravitational interaction is very different, because of the special role of S_{MN} . In 4d gravity it was shown that the contributions of (13) and $S_{\mu\nu}$ cancel in the above spectral-angular region [18]. Physically, this is due to the fact that the radiation, emitted by particles following ultrarelativistic time-like geodesics, follows null geodesics, which are close to the former and give an effective formation length of radiation in a given direction γ times larger than in flat space [19].

No surprise, the situation is similar in $\mathcal{M}_4 \times \mathcal{T}^d$. The contribution from the stresses S_{MN} , which is the classical counterpart of the amplitude involving the three-graviton vertex, is rather complicated. However, it can be shown [14] that like for $d=0$ the leading term in the S -amplitude for $\gamma \gg 1$ exactly cancels (13). The non-leading term, integrated over the transverse virtual momenta, is also expressed in terms of Macdonald functions, whose argument $w'_0 = \omega b / \gamma$ does not depend on angles. Therefore, the radiation does not exhibit sharp anisotropy and the frequency cutoff (from the condition $w'_0 \sim 1$) is $\omega \leq \omega_{cr} = \gamma/b$. Projection on $\varepsilon_{\pm}$ leads to (ε_3 gives a similar contribution):

$$\tau_{\pm} = \frac{\kappa_D^2 m m' (\gamma \xi)^{-1}}{4(2\pi)^{d/2+1} b^d} \left[(\cos 2\varphi \mp 2i) \cos \theta' \hat{K}_{d/2} + \frac{\sin \theta'}{w'_0} \cdot \left((i \cos^3 \varphi \mp \sin 2\varphi) \hat{K}_{d/2+1} - \sin 2\varphi (i \sin \varphi \pm 1) \hat{K}_{d/2} \right) \right],$$

where $\xi = 1 - v \cos \theta$ and θ' is the radiation polar angle in the rest frame of m ($\sin \theta' = \sin \theta / \gamma \xi$).

Finally, upon integration of (8) over Ω and ω one obtains the radiated energy

$$E_{\text{rad}} = \tilde{C}_D \frac{m^2 m'^2 \kappa_D^6}{b^{3d+3}} \gamma^{d+3} \quad (16)$$

with a known dimension-dependent coefficient. Qualitatively the dependence on b and γ can be understood as follows. The averaged over emission angles amplitude-squared is $\langle |\tau_{\pm}|^2 \rangle \sim b^{-2d}$, and $E_{\text{rad}} \sim \langle |\tau_{\pm}|^2 \rangle \omega_{cr}^3 \mathcal{N}_{\text{eff}}$, where $\mathcal{N}_{\text{eff}} \sim (R\gamma/b)^d$ is the effective number of emitted light modes [14].

Relation (16) was obtained in the rest frame of particle m' . To pass to the CM frame, one calculates the relative energy loss (radiation efficiency) $\epsilon \equiv E_{\text{rad}}/E$, and expresses the result in terms of the Lorentz factor in the CM frame via (for $m = m'$) $\gamma_{\text{cm}}^2 = (1 + \gamma)/2$:

$$\epsilon = C_d \left(\frac{r_S}{b} \right)^{3(d+1)} \gamma_{\text{cm}}^{2d+1}. \quad (17)$$

The two new features of (17) are: (a) the large factor $\gamma_{\text{cm}}^{2d+1}$ due to the large number of light KK modes involved both in the gravitational force and in the radiation, and (b) the growing with d coefficient:

d	1	2	3	4	5	6
C_d	10.1	184	3359	$6 \cdot 10^4$	$1.06 \cdot 10^6$	$1.8 \cdot 10^7$
r_S	3.45	1.88	1.46	1.29	1.21	1.17
b_c	196	7.90	3.15	2.11	1.72	1.53

The Table has r_S and b_c in TeV^{-1} evaluated for $M_* \simeq 1\text{TeV}$ and $\sqrt{s} \simeq 14\text{TeV}$. The classical description of small angle ultrarelativistic scattering is valid for impact parameter in the region $r_S < b < b_c$, where $b_c \equiv \pi^{-1/2} [\Gamma(d/2) G_{DS} / \hbar c^5]^{1/d} \sim r_S (r_S / \lambda_B)^{1/d}$ is the scale beyond which (for $d \neq 0$) the classical notion of particle trajectory is lost [6]. Another restriction comes from the quantum bound on the radiation frequency $\hbar \omega_{\text{cr}} < m\gamma$, which is equivalent to $b > \lambda_C \equiv \hbar / (mc)$. For $d \neq 0$ the two conditions overlap provided $\lambda_C < b_c$. To estimate ϵ set $b = \lambda_C$ in (17) to obtain $\epsilon = B_d (sm / M_*^3)^{d+2}$ ($B_d = 7.4, .8, .6, .9, 1.9, 5.6, 21$ for $d = 0, 1, \dots, 6$). Thus, a simple condition for strong radiation damping is

$$sm \gtrsim M_*^3, \quad (18)$$

which may well hold for heavy particles and nuclei with LHC energies and cosmic rays. For example, for

$\sqrt{s} = 14\text{TeV}$ and $m = .2\text{TeV}$ all conditions are met for $d = 1, 2$, and at the quantum boundary $b = \lambda_C$ one has $\epsilon_1 \simeq 5 \times 10^4$, $\epsilon_2 \simeq 10^6$. For protons in LHC, $\lambda_C > b_c$, and (17) does not apply for $d \neq 0$. For $d = 0$ and $b = \lambda_C$ it gives $\epsilon = .25$.

To conclude, our analysis shows that gravitational bremsstrahlung may lead to strong radiation damping in transplanckian collisions. Therefore, (a) one may have to include the reaction force [15] in the study of BH production, which might even exclude the formation of a CTS. Incidentally, there are indications that gravitational collapse of an oscillating string does not take place, once gravitational radiation is taken into account [20]. (b) Our results also imply that bremsstrahlung is a strong process leading to missing energy signatures in transplanckian collisions, which may further constrain the ADD parameters [14].

Work supported in part by the EU grants INTER-REG IIIA (Greece-Cyprus) and FP7-REGPOT-2008-1-CreteHEPCosmo-228644. DG and PS are grateful to the ITCF of the U. of Crete for its hospitality in the early stages of this work. Their work was supported by the RFBR project 08-02-01398-a. DG also thanks LAPH Annecy-le-Vieux for hospitality and support. We should thank S. Giddings, A. Mironov, V. Rychkov, M. Sampaio and especially G. Veneziano for useful discussions.

-
- [1] P. C. Argyres, S. Dimopoulos and J. March-Russell, Phys. Lett. B **441**, 96 (1998) T. Banks and W. Fischler, arXiv:hep-th/9906038; S. B. Giddings and S. D. Thomas, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 056010 (2002) D. M. Eardley and S. B. Giddings, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 044011 (2002).
- [2] I. Antoniadis, C. Bachas, D. C. Lewellen and T. N. Tomaras, Phys. Lett. B **207**, 441 (1988); I. Antoniadis, Phys. Lett. B **246**, 377 (1990).
- [3] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and G. Dvali, Phys. Lett. B **429**, 263 (1998); I. Antoniadis, N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and G. Dvali, Phys. Lett. B **436**, 257 (1998).
- [4] G. F. Giudice, R. Rattazzi and J. D. Wells, Nucl. Phys. B **544**, 3 (1999); T. Han, J. D. Lykken and R. J. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 105006 (1999).
- [5] M. Cavaglia, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **18**, 1843 (2003); P. Kanti, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **19**, 4899 (2004); D. M. Gingrich, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **21**, 6653 (2006); G. L. Landsberg, J. Phys. G **32**, R337 (2006); B. Koch, M. Bleicher and H. Stoecker, J. Phys. G **34**, S535 (2007).
- [6] G. F. Giudice, R. Rattazzi and J. D. Wells, Nucl. Phys. B **630**, 293 (2002); R. Emparan, M. Masip and R. Rattazzi, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 064023 (2002).
- [7] G. Veneziano and J. Wosiek, JHEP **0809**, 023, 024 (2008); S. B. Giddings and M. Srednicki, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 085025 (2008) D. Amati, M. Ciafaloni and G. Veneziano, JHEP **0802**, 049 (2008).
- [8] V. Cardoso, E. Berti and M. Cavaglià, Class. Quant. Grav. **22**, L61 (2005).
- [9] H. Yoshino and V. S. Rychkov, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 104028 (2005) [Erratum-ibid. D **77**, 089905 (2008)].
- [10] M. Cavaglia et al., Comput. Phys. Commun. **177**, 506 (2007); D. C. Dai et al., Phys. Rev. D **77**, 076007 (2008); J. A. Frost et al., arXiv:0904.0979 [hep-ph]; L. Lönnblad and M. Sjödal, JHEP, **0610**, 088 (2006); A. Mironov, A. Morozov and T.N. Tomaras, hep-ph/0311318; A. Cafarella, C. Coriano, T.N. Tomaras, JHEP **0506**, 065 (2005). And references therein.
- [11] V. Cardoso, O. J. C. Dias and J. P. S. Lemos, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 064026 (2003); V. Cardoso, J. P. S. Lemos and S. Yoshida, Phys. Rev. D **68** (2003) 084011; E. Berti, M. Cavaglià and L. Gualtieri, Phys. Rev. D **69** (2003) 124011.
- [12] H. Yoshino, T. Shiromizu and M. Shibata, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 084020 (2005); H. Yoshino, T. Shiromizu and M. Shibata, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 124022 (2006); U. Sperhake, V. Cardoso et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 161101 (2008); M. Shibata, H. Okawa and T. Yamamoto, Phys. Rev. D **78** (2008) 101501.
- [13] P. D. D'Eath, P. N. Payne, Phys. Rev. D **46** (1992) 658; ibid, 675; ibid, 694.
- [14] D. V. Gal'tsov, G. Kofinas, P. Spirin and T. N. Tomaras, to appear.
- [15] D. V. Gal'tsov, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 025016 (2002); D. V. Gal'tsov and P. A. Spirin, Grav. Cosmol. **13** (2007) 241.
- [16] A. Karlhede and T.N. Tomaras, Phys. Lett. **125**, 49 (1983).
- [17] D. V. Gal'tsov, G. Kofinas, P. Spirin and T. N. Tomaras, JHEP **0905**, 074 (2009).
- [18] D. V. Gal'tsov, Yu. V. Grats and A. A. Matyukhin, Sov. Phys. J. **23**, 389 (1980).
- [19] I. B. Khriplovich and E. V. Shuryak, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **65**, 2137 (1973); D. V. Gal'tsov and A. A. Matyukhin, Yad. Fiz. **45**, 894 (1987).

[20] R. Iengo, hep-th/0702087.